PAPER NAME

(en) Author guideline JAFH.docx

WORD COUNT

5197 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

28004 Characters

PAGE COUNT

12 Pages

FILE SIZE

63.5KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Aug 26, 2023 1:42 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Aug 26, 2023 1:43 PM GMT+7

12% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 7% Internet database
- 3% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 11% Submitted Works database

Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

Optimization Feeding Rate Towards Saline Tilapia Production in Stagnant Waters, Pekalongan City

Ashari Fahrurrozi[1], Benny Diah Madusari[1] and Mohammad Bahrus Syakirin[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4186-5292, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7713-2122,

[1]Aquaculture Study Program, Faculty of Fisheries, Pekalongan University, Jl. Sriwijaya No.3, Bendan, West Pekalongan, Pekalongan City, Central Java, 51119, Indonesia

*Correspondence email address : *ashari.fahrurrozi@gmail.com

Abstract

The flood disaster in the coastal area of Pekalongan City resulted in stagnant water, thus impacting the livelihoods of the community previously. The adaptation that has been made to deal with these conditions is by cultivating saline tilapia. Feed is the most important factor in supporting the growth of saline tilapia production. However, research on feed quality and frequency that has been carried out for tilapia production has not been optimal in obtaining the results obtained. This study aims to determine the effect of different feeding rates on growth performance, feed utilization and survival rate of tilapia reared in stagnant brackish water. The study consisted of feeding rates of 3%, 5%, 7% and 9% with three replications, and parameters were observed every seven days. Based on the research results it is known that the optimal feeding rate in saline tilapia culture ranges from 6.67% - 7% based on growth parameters. Although the other parameters do not show the same feeding rate as the growth parameter, these parameters are still in a good range for saline tilapia culture.

Keywords: *Feeding rate, Growth performance, Stagnant water, Tilapia*

INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters in the form of floods and land subsidence over a long period of time have resulted in standing water in several coastal areas of Pekalongan City (Miftakhudin, 2021; Hsiao *et al*., 2022). It was reported that rising sea levels (±4.3mm/year) with a rate of land subsidence (±16.74 cm/year) resulted in standing water covering an area of ±477.57 hectares in Pekalongan City (Iskandar *et al*., 2020). This of course has an impact on the income of the local community. Fishery, agriculture and settlement lands are not used because they are covered by waters (Khaqiqi and Syamsuddin, 2021). Tilapia aquaculture is an alternative solution that can be adapted to address these problems (Syakirin *et al*., 2023). Tilapia is known to be euryhaline and more resistant to disease, so it is more suitable for aquaculture in stagnant water than other brackish water biota (Fahrurrozi *et al*., 2021).

Feed is very influential on income gains and success in aquaculture, because 45-50% of operational costs are feed (Afewerki *et al*., 2023). Feed management in its application does not only focus on price but can be broader. Feed quality, feed raw materials and feeding methods are the determining factors in the success of aquaculture (Prasad *et al*., 2023). So that research on feed management is still a topic that is often researched. Much research has been done on feed for saline tilapia aquaculture in Pekalongan City, but it still focuses on feed quality and raw materials. Some examples of these studies include the addition of temulawak flour on the growth of saline tilapia (Imaniar and Syakirin, 2018), increase in growth and feed efficiency of saline tilapia with the use of tamarind eggplant extract (Syakirin *et al*., 2022) and administration of *aloe vera* plant extract its effect on tilapia production (Yunus *et al*., 2023).

Growth parameter is an important aspect in determining success in an aquaculture. This is because, the growth rate affects the maintenance time (Lippmann *et al*., 2023). Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) is the conversion of feed to fish weight, the smaller the FCR value indicates good feeding (Naz *et al*., 2023). The feed efficiency is related to the addition of biomass weight in the body that comes from the utilization of feed protein. The value is obtained from the comparison between the weight gain of the fish and the amount of feed

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

consumed (Nayak *et al*., 2023). Meanwhile, the survival rate is a parameter to determine the impact of a given factor. survival rate shows the survival rate of a population during the study (Novák *et al*., 2023).

The method of feeding, especially the feeding rate, is an important aspect apart from price, quality and feed raw materials. Feeding rate is a way of providing feed by paying attention to the amount of feed given every day to fish which is calculated based on biomass (Gabriel *et al*., 2023). Research on feeding rates is important because besides affecting the quantity of feed, it can also affect the quality of the digested feed so as to produce optimal tilapia production (Mengistu *et al*., 2020). Proper feeding can also minimize waste so that the survival of fish can be maintained (Ahmed, 2023).

The level of feeding in the form of a percentage of the amount to be given is of particular concern so that aquaculture production can run optimally. In aquaculture of saline tilapia in stagnant water, not much has been done, especially to determine the optimal feeding rate. The research was conducted for 4 weeks by evaluating different feeding rates (3%, 5%, 7% and 9%) on the production parameters of saline tilapia. The hypothesis in this study obtained the optimal feeding rate which affects growth, feed conversion ratio (FCR), feed efficiency (FE) and survival rate (SR).

METHODOLOGY

Ethical Approval

No animals were harmed or mistreated during this study. The test animals in this study were treated properly according to the optimal environment starting from the quality of temperature and salinity, so they did not require ethical permission.

Time and Place

This research was carried out in stagnant water ponds, Krapyak Lor, North Pekalongan, Pekalongan City, in June 2023.

Research Materials

The equipment used was a digital balance with an accuracy of 0.01 g (i2000, China), closed net (-, Indonesia), feed thrower (-, Indonesia), bucket (Lion Star, Indonesia), fiber pool (-, Indonesia), mercury thermometer (SP Bel-Art, China), refractometer (Atago, Japan). The material used is saline tilapia obtained from the Fish Seed Center of Pekalongan City. Commercial fish feed (HI-PRO-VITE 781, Indonesia) and crystal salt (Dolpin Salt, Indonesia).

Research Design

The method used in this research is the experimental method with Completely Randomized Design (CRD). In this study using four treatments with three replications to reduce the error rate. The treatment dose used in this study refers to Liu *et al*. (2018) and Zahra *et al*. (2019), namely (FR 3%) feeding rate of 3%; (FR 5%) feeding rate 5%; (FR 7%) feeding rate 7%; (FR 9%) feeding rate 9%. Sampling was carried out four times with a distance of seven days from the first collection. The main parameters in this study are growth parameters (MBW, ADG and biomass), survival rate, FCR, and feed efficiency. While the water quality (Temperature and Salinity) was carried out and analyzed as supporting parameters.

Mean Body Weight (MBW)

Mean body weight (MBW), is the average weight of the fish from the sampling results. MBW can be calculated as follows (Prabu *et al*., 2020):

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

$$\text{MBW} = \frac{\text{weight of fish weighed (g)}}{\text{number of fish weighed (fish)}}$$

Average Daily Growth (ADG)

Average daily growth (ADG) is the average daily weight gain of fish in a certain period of time so that it can be used to determine the speed of fish growth. As according to Prabu *et al*. (2020), the formula is as follows:

$$\text{ADG} = \frac{\text{final MBW (g) - initial MBW (g)}}{\text{time span (day)}}$$

Biomass

Fish biomass is the total weight of fish kept in a pond or pond at a certain time. As according to Mehendra *et al*. (2023), the formula is as follows:

$$\text{Biomass} = \text{Population (fish) x MBW (g)}$$

Survival Rate (SR)

Survival rate is a prediction of the survival of biota within a certain period of time. As according to Prabu *et al*. (2020), the formula is as follows:

$$\text{SR} = \frac{\text{final number of dead fish (fish)}}{\text{initial number of dead fish (fish)}} \times 100\%$$

Feed Convertion Ratio (FCR)

Feed convertion ratio is the ratio between the weight of fish feed that has been given in a certain cycle period, to the total weight (biomass) produced. As according to Prabu *et al*. (2020), the formula is as follows:

$$\text{FCR} = \frac{F}{(Bt + D) - Bo}$$

Where:

F = amount of feed given during the study (g)
Bt = final biomass (g)
D = weight of dead fish during the study (g)
Bo = initial biomass (g)

Feed Efficiency (FE)

The feed efficiency is related to the addition of biomass weight in the body which comes from the utilization of protein in the feed. The value of feed efficiency is obtained from the results of a comparison between the body weight gain of the fish and the amount of feed consumed by the fish during the rearing period. As according to Arisa *et al*. (2020), the formula is as follows:

$$\text{FE} = \frac{\text{final biomass (g) - initial biomss (g)}}{\text{amount of feed given during the study (g)}} \times 100\%$$

Work Procedure

The activity began with the preparation of a container for cultivation in the form of installing 12 nets in fixed cages measuring 3 meters x 2 meters x 1 meter (length x width x height) on poles already stuck in the waters. The installation of fixed cage nets is arranged in such a way so that they do not touch the bottom of the water (± 0.2 meters above the bottom of the water), for the upper part it is given a space of ± 0.1 meter above the surface of the water. The test fish used were tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) which had been acclimatized to a fish length of 2-3 cm in a controlled bath using crystalline salt so that the test fish could

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

survive at a salinity of 4 g/liter. Furthermore, after the fish are 3-5 cm in size, they are put into each cage with a density of 185 fish/cage.

Measurements were made five times on growth parameters (MBW, ADG and biomass), namely once before treatment and four times after treatment until the study was completed. As for the measurement of other parameters carried out at the beginning and at the end of the study. Measurements are made by taking as much as 10% of the fish from each cage. The amount of feed was recorded at each feeding until the end of the study, for data analysis purposes.

Data Analysis

The data obtained were analyzed using the ANOVA statistical test and the Tukey test as a follow-up test to see a significant difference. Regression analysis to find out whether the resulting graph is linear or non-linear in determining the optimal dose, then the table and graphic data obtained are explained descriptively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Mean Body Weight (MBW)

Based on the results of the study it was known that the average weight of saline tilapia was not significantly different (P<0.05) at the 0th week of observation (Figure 1). This is because the observations were made before the treatment or feeding was carried out on saline tilapia. The difference in mean body weight which can be seen from the different notations at week 1 showed that the 7% feeding rate was significantly different (P>0.05) from the other treatments until the end of the study. This shows that the 7% feeding rate gave the best results compared to other treatments. Even though in the 2nd week of observation the mean body weight of the 7% feeding rate was the lowest, it still showed improvement and was the best until the end of the study.



Figure 1. Increase in mean body weight of saline tilapia during the observation period.

Description: Numbers followed by the same superscript letter in the same column are not significantly different based on the Tukey test (P>0.05).

Inhibition of growth in the 2nd week was made possible due to feeding errors, so the feed that had been calculated and weighed previously was not utilized by the test fish. Human management practices also significantly, as well as fish feeding behavior, including the frequency, timing and amount of feeding can affect the growth and survival rate of fish (Zhou *et al*., 2018). Treatment feeding rate of 7% of the mean body weight showed the best value among other treatments. This result is in line with the research by Zahra *et al*. (2019), which stated that a feeding rate of 7% had the best effect on the growth of tilapia. However, this value is not yet at its optimal value, because according to Craig *et al*. (2017), farmed fish are usually fed 1-5 percent of their body weight per day. Feeding rate values have been widely

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

published for most of the cultured fish species. So it is necessary to do further analysis on the next research parameters.

Average Daily Growth (ADG)

Based on the results of the study it was found that the average daily growth of saline tilapia was significantly different (P> 0.05) which can be seen in Table 1. These conditions indicate that the treatment of differences in feeding rates has an effect on the average daily growth produced during the study. While for the treatment of feeding rate 7% is the best treatment by producing the highest average daily growth value among other treatments, namely 1.9 ± 0.1 g/day. This difference is known after further testing is carried out and produces a notation or superscript that is different from the others.

Table 1. Average daily growth of saline tilapia after different feeding rates.

Treatment	Average Daily Growth (g/day)
	Average ± SD
FR 3%	1,0 ± 0,2[a]
FR 5%	1,1 ± 0,1[a]
FR 7%	1,9 ± 0,1[b]
FR 9%	1,3 ± 0,3[a]

Description: Numbers followed by the same superscript letter in the same column are not significantly different based on the Tukey test (P>0.05).

The coefficient of termination (R^2) in Figure 2, shows the significant effect of different feeding rates on the average daily growth of saline tilapia. The coefficient of determination is 0,5477 indicating that the different feeding rates have an effect of 55% on the average daily growth of saline tilapia. As for the optimal point, in this case the optimal feeding rate which can affect the average daily growth, can be seen by determining the points (Xp = b-1/2a) and (Yp = b^2 - 4ac / -4a) on the resulting graph. The formula for a polynomial graph can be illustrated as y = ax2-bx-c. The optimal feeding rate of the calculation results obtained a value of 6,96% with the resulting average daily growth of 1,6 g/day.



Figure 2. The regression equation for FR treatment is different from ADG.

The average daily growth value generated in all treatments is still in the good range. Because in the study of Wainaina *et al*. (2023), the average daily growth of tilapia is within the range of ±0.90 g/day. Meanwhile, the low effect of the feeding rate on the average daily growth with a value of 55% is thought to be due to the many other factors that can influence it, such as weather which can affect water quality and other factors (Zhou *et al*., 2018). Therefore, feeding by paying attention to the feeding rate is important because it can reduce failures during cultivation. There is a significant linear relationship between feeding rate and

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

average daily growth, because fish growth tends to stabilize or decrease when the rate of feeding is above the optimum (Zhang *et al*. 2011). A number of studies on fish have also shown that increasing feeding frequency can only promote growth to a certain limit, beyond this limit, the promotion effect is not obvious (El-Araby *et al*., 2020; Fahrurrozi and Linayati, 2022).

Biomass

Based on the results of the study, it was found that the average biomass of saline tilapia was significantly different (P> 0.05) which can be seen in Table 2. These conditions indicate that the treatment of differences in feeding rates affected the average biomass produced during the study with an FR of 7% is the best treatment. The coefficient value terminated (R^2) in Figure 3, shows a significant effect of 60% with an optimal FR of 6.67% with the biomass produced for every 9423 grams.

Table 2. Average biomass of saline tilapia after different feeding rates.

Treatment	Biomass (g)
	Average ± SD
FR 3%	6697 ± 136[a]
FR 5%	7499 ± 199[b]
FR 7%	10670 ± 470[c]
FR 9%	7727 ± 17[b]

Description: Numbers followed by the same superscript letter in the same column are not significantly different based on the Tukey test (P>0.05).

The growth of saline tilapia with the final result in the form of biomass is influenced by many factors (Zhou *et al*., 2017). Even so, in this study, the difference in the concentration of the feeding rate still had an impact with a 60% confidence level. So that the recommended feeding percentage for saline tilapia cultivation is 6.67%. In the aquaculture process, especially in fish raising activities, the most important factor is the availability of feed in sufficient quantities, and it must contain all the necessary nutrients such as carbohydrates, fats, proteins, minerals and vitamins (Fahrurrozi *et al*., 2023). The existence of differences in biomass from different concentrations of feeding rates is thought to be due to the availability and adequacy of the amount of feed needed for saline tilapia during the study.



Figure 3. The regression equation for FR treatment is different from Biomass

Survival Rate (SR)

Based on the results of the study it was found that the average survival rate of saline tilapia was significantly different (P> 0.05) which can be seen in Table 3. These conditions

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

indicate that the treatment of differences in feeding rates affected the average survival rate produced during the study with FR 5% and 3% is the best treatment. The coefficient of termination (R^2) in Figure 4 shows a significant effect of 59%. The graph with a linear curve shows that the higher the concentration of the feeding rate given, the lower the survival rate.

Table 3. Average survival rate of saline tilapia after different feeding rates.

Treatment	Survival rate (%)
	Average ± SD
FR 3%	89,18 ± 1,09[b]
FR 5%	90,81 ± 0,07[b]
FR 7%	81,14 ± 0,89[a]
FR 9%	83,24 ± 3,12[a]

Description: Numbers followed by the same superscript letter in the same column are not significantly different based on the Tukey test (P>0.05).

The effect of the feeding rate on the survival rate in this study was 59%. This result can be interpreted that there is an influence between feeding rate and survival rate. As for the optimal feeding rate concentration, it can be said that the higher the concentration, the lower the survival rate. Even so, there are many other factors that affect the survival rate (Zhou *et al*., 2017). The quality and quantity of feed and environmental conditions are factors that can affect the survival rate of biota in a waters (Fahrurrozi and Linayati, 2022). However, in this case the survival rate can still be said to be good for saline tilapia cultivation (Arisa *et al*., 2020).



Figure 4. The regression equation for FR treatment is different from SR

Feed Convertion Ratio (FCR)

Based on the results of the study it was found that the average survival rate of saline tilapia was significantly different (P> 0.05) which can be seen in Table 4. This condition shows that the treatment of differences in feeding rates affected the average FCR produced during the study with FR 5 % and 3% is the best treatment. The coefficient value terminated (R^2) in Figure 5, shows a significant effect of 49%. The graph in the form of a linear curve shows that the higher the concentration of the feeding rate given, the greater the FCR value.

Table 4. Average FCR of saline tilapia after different feeding rates.

Treatment	FCR
	Average ± SD
FR 3%	0,23 ± 0,01[a]

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

FR 5%	0,22 ± 0,01[a]
FR 7%	0,73 ± 0,03[c]
FR 9%	0,50 ± 0,02[b]

Description: Numbers followed by the same superscript letter in the same column are not significantly different based on the Tukey test (P>0.05).

Even though the feeding rate treatment is said to have an effect on the FCR of the results of the ANOVA analysis, the effect is only 49% which is analyzed by regression. In addition, the regression curve shows that the higher the concentration of the feeding rate, the higher the FCR value. So it can be said that the effect is not too significant. Because many factors can affect the FCR value (Fahrurrozi *et al*., 2023; Zhou *et al*., 2017). However, the FCR values for all treatments in this study can be said to be good (Aliah, 2017).



Figure 5. The regression equation for FR treatment is different from FCR

Feed Efficiency (FE)

Based on the results of the study, it was found that the average feed efficiency of saline tilapia was significantly different (P>0.05) which can be seen in Table 5. These conditions indicate that the treatment of differences in feeding rates has an effect on the average feed efficiency produced during research with FR 5% is the best treatment. The coefficient value terminated (R^2) in Figure 6, shows a significant effect of 50%. The graph is in the form of a linear curve, so that the higher the concentration of the feeding rate given, the lower the feed efficiency value.

Table 5. Average feed efficiency of saline tilapia after different feeding rates.

Treatment	Feed Efficiency (%) Average ± SD
FR 3%	42,4 ± 0,04[c]
FR 5%	44,7 ± 0,02[d]
FR 7%	33,6 ± 0,06[a]
FR 9%	33,9 ± 0,04[b]

Description: Numbers followed by the same superscript letter in the same column are not significantly different based on the Tukey test (P>0.05).

Feed efficiency is the quantity of feed that enters the digestive system which is then broken down by metabolism in the body and used for growth (Arisa *et al*., 2020). The higher the value of feed efficiency describes the more optimal use of feed in increasing growth. The results showed that the feeding rate has an effect on the value of feed efficiency with a coefficient of determination of 50% and the highest at a concentration of 5% feeding rate.

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

The results of the curve are in the form of a regression so that the greater the concentration of the feeding rate, the smaller the value of feed efficiency. However, the value of feed efficiency in this study is still in the optimal range. Because according to Nugraha *et al*. (2018), feed efficiency for saline tilapia ranged from 30.93 – 53.84%.



Figure 6. The regression equation for FR treatment is different from FE

Water Quality

Water quality greatly influences the growth and survival of a biota (Fahrurrozi *et al*., 2023). Based on the results of observations, the value of water quality is in optimal condition. The average temperature value of 30 ± 1 °C is in the good range for the survival of saline tilapia. This is because the optimal temperature value for saline tilapia culture has a range of 27-30 °C (Arisa *et al*., 2020), so the effect of temperature on research results is used as a supporting parameter. Same with temperature, the value of the salinity of the test waters during the study was in the optimal range of 4.6 ± 0.2 ppt. The optimal value of the water parameter in the form of salinity for the survival of saline tilapia ranges from 3-9 ppt (Thomas *et al*., 2021).

CONCLUSION

Based on the growth parameters in this study, the optimal feeding rate for saline tilapia culture ranged from 6.67% – 7%. Although the other parameters do not show the same feeding rate as the growth parameter, they are still in the good range for saline tilapia culture. It is recommended that the analysis of feeding rate be accompanied by other parameters such as feed quality.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest in this manuscript between all authors upon writing and publishing this manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Ashari Fahrurrozi: author correspondence, principal researcher, collecting data, analysis, and manuscript writing. Benny Diah Madusari: researcher and analysis. Mohammad Bahrus Syakirin: researcher and analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgments are extended to all parties involved in this research, the Faculty of Fisheries, Pekalongan University and the Pekalongan University Research and Community Service Institute (LPPM) for providing funding to carry out the research.

REFERENCES

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

Afewerki, S., Asche, F., Misund, B., Thorvaldsen, T. and Tveteras, R., 2023. Innovation in the Norwegian aquaculture industry. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, *15*(2), pp.759-771. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12755.

Ahmed, S.F., 2023. Key Environmental Factors and Productivity and Sustainability of Bloch Fish in Kerala. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, *10*(1S), pp.6015-6021.

Aliah, R. S. 2017. Rekayasa produksi ikan nila salin untuk perairan payau di wilayah pesisir. *Jurnal Rekayasa Lingkungan*, *10*(1). p. 17-24. https://doi.org/10.29122/jrl.v10i1.2117.

Arisa, I. I., Zulfikar, Z., Muhammadar, M., Nurfadillah, N., and Mellisa, S. 2020. Study on the addition of *Caulerpa lentillifera* on growth and survival rate of saline tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*, L. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. *493*(1), p.012004. IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/493/1/012004.

Craig, S. R., Helfrich, L. A., Kuhn, D., and Schwarz, M. H. 2017. Understanding fish nutrition, feeds, and feeding.

El-Araby, D. A., Amer, S. A., and Khalil, A. A. 2020. Effect of different feeding regimes on the growth performance, antioxidant activity, and health of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture*, *528*,735572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735572.

Fahrurrozi, A. and Linayati, L. 2022. Pengaruh penambahan tepung kunyit (*Curcuma longa* Linn.) terhadap pertumbuhan dan rasio konversi pakan ikan kakap putih (*Lates calcarifer*, Bloch). *Sains Akuakultur Tropis: Indonesian Journal of Tropical Aquaculture*, *6*(2), p.266-272. https://doi.org/10.14710/sat.v6i2.14884.

Fahrurrozi, A., Andayani, I.S., Yuniarti, A. and Aqua, S.P.M., 2021. *Potensi Ekstrak Kasar Daun Mangrove (Rhizophora mucronata) Terhadap Pertahanan Imuno-Antioksidan Ikan Nila (Oreochromis niloticus) Yang Diinfeksi Bakteri Aeromonas salmonicida* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Brawijaya).

Fahrurrozi, A., Linayati, L., Ariadi, H., Madusari, B. D. and Syakirin, M. B. 2023. Correlation of Plankton Abundance to FCR Value and Survival Rate in Vaname Shrimp (*Litopenaeus Vannamei*) Culture", *Jurnal Miyang : Ronggolawe Fisheries and Marine Science Journal*, 3(1), pp. 26–33. https://doi.org/10.55719/jmiy.v3i1.617.

Fahrurrozi, A., Wijianto, W., Linayati L., Syakirin, M. B., Falakh, I., Putri, S. H. and Muslim, R. L. 2023. Dinamika Kualitas Air Budidaya *Litopenaeus vannamei* di Tambak Intensif Wilayah Pesisir Kecamatan Pemalang Kabupaten Pemalang. *Grouper: Jurnal Ilmiah Perikanan*, *14*(1), p. 49-58. https://doi.org/10.30736/grouper.v14i1.140.

Gabriel, N.N., Abasubong, K.P. and Akpoilih, B.U., 2023. Feed Restriction as a Feed Management Strategy in Tilapia and Catfish Culture: An African Perspective. In *Emerging Sustainable Aquaculture Innovations in Africa* (pp. 75-87). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-7451-9_2.

Hsiao, S.C., Fu, H.S., Chen, W.B., Chang, T.Y., Wu, H.L. and Liang, T.Y., 2022. Assessment of future possible maximum flooding extent in the midwestern coastal region of Taiwan resulting from sea-level rise and land subsidence. *Environmental Research Communications*, *4*(9), p.095007.

Imaniar, A.E.Y. and Syakirin, M.B., 2018. Pengaruh Penambahan Tepung Temulawak (*Curcuma zanthorrhiza*) Pada Pakan Buatan Terhadap Pertumbuhan Benih Ikan Nila Salin (*Oreochromis niloticus*). In *Seminar Nasional Dies Natalis Universitas Pekalongan Ke-37* (pp. 32-37).

Iskandar, S. A., Helmi, M., Muslim, M., Widada, S., and Rochaddi, B. 2020. Analisis Geospasial Area Genangan Banjir Rob dan Dampaknya pada Penggunaan Lahan Tahun 2020-2025 di Kota Pekalongan Provinsi Jawa Tengah. *Indonesian Journal of Oceanography*, *2*(3), pp.271-282. https://doi.org/10.14710/ijoce.v2i3.8668.

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

Khaqiqi, M.N. and Syamsuddin, S., 2021. April. Dampak banjir rob terhadap perekonomian dan strategi pengembangan wisata. *In Forum Ekonomi*. 23(2), pp. 295-301. https://doi.org/10.30872/jfor.v23i2.8041.

Lippmann, R.B., Helmstedt, K.J., Gibbs, M.T. and Corry, P., 2023. Optimizing facility location, sizing, and growth time for a cultivated resource: A case study in coral aquaculture. *Plos one*, *18*(3), p.e0282668. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282668.

Liu, W., Wen, H., and Luo, Z. 2018. Effect of dietary protein levels and feeding rates on the growth and health status of juvenile genetically improved farmed tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture International*, *26*, 153-167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-017-0202-6.

Mahendra, M. A., Tarisah., Iswanti, N. I., Risnawati, Astuti, T. P. and Andriani. 2023. Wheel Application to Maintain The Need for Oxygen and Increasing Productivity in Cultivation Intensively Vaname Shrimp. *Agrokompleks*, *23*(1), p.78-83. https://doi.org/10.51978/japp.v23i1.514.

Mengistu, S.B., Mulder, H.A., Benzie, J.A. and Komen, H., 2020. A systematic literature review of the major factors causing yield gap by affecting growth, feed conversion ratio and survival in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Reviews in Aquaculture*, *12*(2), pp.524-541. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12331.

Miftakhudin, S., 2021. Strategi penanganan banjir rob kota pekalongan. *Jurnal Litbang Kota Pekalongan*, *19*(1). Pp.29-38. https://doi.org/10.54911/litbang.v20i.142.

Nayak, S., Yogev, U., Kpordzaxor, Y., Zhu, Z., Gur, N., Gross, A. and Zilberg, D., 2023. From fish excretions to high-protein dietary ingredient: Feeding intensively cultured barramundi (Lates calcarifer) a diet containing microbial biomass (biofloc) from effluent of an aquaculture system. *Aquaculture*, *562*, p.738780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738780.

Naz, S., Wadood, H.Z., Batool, M. and Chatha, A.M.M., 2023. Efficacy of Insect Feed as Protein Source in Aqua Feed and its Impact on Growth Performance of Fish. *BioScientific Review*, *5*(2), pp.39-55. https://doi.org/10.32350/BSR.52.05.

Novák, J., Frynta, D., Nováková, D. and Patoka, J., 2023. Social deprivation in maternal mouthbrooders Tropheus sp."Caramba"(Teleostei: Cichlidae) decreases the success rate of reproduction and survival rate of fish fry. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), p.8284. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-35467-z.

Nugraha, B. A., Rachmawati, D. and Sudaryono, A. 2018. Laju Pertumbuhan dan Efisiensi Pemanfaatan Pakan Ikan Nila Salin (O*reochromis niloticus*) dengan Penambahan Tepung Alga Coklat (*Sargassum cristaefolium*) dalam Pakan. *Jurnal Sains Teknologi Akuakultur*, *2*(1), p.20-27.

Prabu, D. L., Ebeneezar, S., Chandrasekar, S., Tejpal, C. S., Kavitha, M., Sayooj, P., and Vijayagopal, P. 2020. Influence of graded level of dietary protein with equated level of limiting amino acids on growth, feed utilization, body indices and nutritive profile of snubnose pompano, *Trachinotus blochii* (Lacepede, 1801) reared in low saline water. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *269*(114685), p.1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114685.

Prasad, K.S., Sumalatha, A., Rao, J.C. and Prasad, V.V., 2023. February. An Integrated Wireless Aquaculture Monitoring and Feed Management System. In *2023 7th International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC)* (pp. 690-695). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCMC56507.2023.10084236.

Syakirin, M.B., Fahrurrozi, A., Mardiana, T.Y., Linayati, L., Rabbani, N., Ardana, A. and Rosiana, E.S., 2023. Pendayagunaan Lahan Sawah Puso Akibat Rob Untuk Budidaya

Margin: 2.5 all sides with one space. Beginning of paragraph jutting 1 cm.

Ikan Nila Salin di Kelurahan Padukuhan Kraton Kota Pekalongan. *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat Nusantara*, *5*(1), pp.62-69. https://doi.org/10.57214/pengabmas.v5i1.209.

Syakirin, M.B., Mardiana, T.Y. and Efendi, R., 2022. Peningkatan Pertumbuhan dan Efisiensi Pemanfaatan Pakan Ikan Nila Salin (*Oreochromis niloticus*) dengan Penggunaan Ekstrak Terong Asam (*Solanum ferox* L.). *Pena Akuatika: Jurnal Ilmiah Perikanan dan Kelautan*, *21*(1), pp.89-101.

Thomas, R.M., Verma, A.K., Krishna, H., Prakash, S., Kumar, A. and Peter, R.M., 2021. Effect of salinity on growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) in aquaponic system using inland saline groundwater. *Aquaculture Research*, *52*(12), pp.6288-6298. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.15492.

Wainaina, M., Opiyo, M.A., Charo-Karisa, H., Orina, P., and Nyonje, B. 2023. On-Farm Assessment of Different Fingerling Sizes of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) on Growth Performance, Survival and Yield. Aquaculture Studies, 23, AQUAST900. http://doi.org/10.4194/AQUAST900.

Yunus, Y.E., Mutmainnah, N. and SC, U.K., 2023. Pemberian Ekstrak Tanaman Lidah Buaya (*Aloe vera*) Dalam Sintasan Dan Gambaran Hematologi Ikan Nila (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Jurnal Perikanan Unram*, *13*(1), pp.115-122. https://doi.org/10.29303/jp.v13i1.443.

Zahra, A., Sakinah, S., and Putri, B. 2019. Pengaruh Feeding Rate (FR) yang Berbeda Terhadap Pertumbuhan dan Tingkat Kelulushidupan Benih Ikan Nila (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) yang Dipelihara dengan Sistem Bioflok. *Jurnal Akuakultur Rawa Indonesia*, *7*(2), pp.86-98.

Zhang, L., Zhao, Z., Xiong, D., Fang, W., Li, B., Fan, Q., and Wang, X. 2011. Effects of ration level on growth, nitrogenous excretion and energy budget of juvenile yellow catfish, Pelteobagrus fulvidraco (Richardson). *Aquaculture research*, *42*(7), p.899-905. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02626.x.

Zhou, C., Xu, D., Lin, K., Sun, C., and Yang, X. 2018. Intelligent feeding control methods in aquaculture with an emphasis on fish: a review. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, *10*(4), p.975-993. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12218.

12% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 7% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 11% Submitted Works database
- 3% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	**e-journal.unair.ac.id** Internet	4%
2	**Universitas Airlangga on 2022-08-18** Submitted works	3%
3	**Universitas Airlangga on 2022-04-28** Submitted works	3%
4	**download.atlantis-press.com** Internet	<1%
5	**I I Arisa, Z Zulfikar, M Muhammadar, N Nurfadillah, S Mellisa. " Study o...** Crossref	<1%
6	**garuda.kemdikbud.go.id** Internet	<1%
7	**researchgate.net** Internet	<1%
8	**Universitas Airlangga on 2019-05-28** Submitted works	<1%

9 Universitas Airlangga on 2022-08-26 <1%

Submitted works

10 Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta on 2022-12-06 <1%

Submitted works