

PAPER NAME 6 Leadership role.pdf	AUTHOR yuniarti 6 Leadership role
WORD COUNT 2199 Words	CHARACTER COUNT 13542 Characters
PAGE COUNT 5 Pages	FILE SIZE 601.4KB
SUBMISSION DATE Apr 2, 2024 2:39 PM GMT+7	REPORT DATE Apr 2, 2024 2:40 PM GMT+7

• 3% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

3% Publications database

- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

Excluded from Similarity Report

Internet database

Leadership Roles Increasing Health Promotors's Productivity in Pekalongan Distric

Yuniarti^{1,} Atiul Khusna²

Departement of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, Pekalongan University Sriwijaya Street 3rd Pekalongan 51115, Central Java, Indonesia ¹phone : 081548006360, email : <u>yuniartiunikal@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Background Health Promotion is one of essential program in public health center, which is under responsibility health promotors. Performance indicators of health promotion services include health maternal, family planning, HIV/AIDS and nutritional program for under weight cases. Operationally, health promotorion in public health centers to overcome public health problems with prevention and promotion services. Health promotors are important part of health movement campaigns, because they are doing direct relationship with community. Health promotors are also knowing well field situation of communities. Main task of health promotor is delivering health education which its indicator of productivity.

Objectives The objectives of this research is knowing the roles of leadership to increase health promotor's productivity.

Methods This is a descriptive research to describe internal and external factors of health promotor's productivities. Population of this research are health promotors of public health centers in Pekalongan Distric which are 27 persons. Sample size its total population of health promotors. Data collection using quesionaire which contains items of productivitiy indicators and leadership roles questions. Secondary data collected from yearly reports including public health center profiles. Result and discussion Results show that 63 % of health promotors have good productivities, and 63% of respondents perception about leadership are poor. The research strengthened with triangulation approach to indepth answers of leadership roles and motivation. Discussion Leadership has strong roles to improve health promotor's productivities which different style to contruct it well.

Keywords : health promotor, leadership, productivity, roles

Introduction

Public Health Center (puskesmas) is an agent of changes to make people powerful and make iniciatif theirselves to live in healthy life. Refers to Permenkes Nomor 75 Tahun 2014 Tentang Pusat Kesehatan Masyarakat knowing puskesmas is providing promotive, preventive, curative and rehabilitative services. Health promotor is strategy in health development to promote community health status. Its also a strategy in health development to promote community health status. Health promotion is including others sectors to promote healthy life style.

Disparities of health promotion program in Pekalongan Distric appeared in health education of health maternal program, family planning program, HIV AIDS program also nutritional program for under weight cases. Those disparities mainly in frequency of health education among public health centers. Delivering health education is health promotor's tasks in public health centers. Frequency of health education is an indicator measuring health promotor's productivity.

Promotion programs the encounter problems that are : puskesmas services unoriented health promotions, to less empowering community. The community not have initiatives for health problems solving. Those problems related work demotivation on health promotors. Motivation built internaly and externally aspects, internal aspects including self motivation and external aspects including leadership. A leader could encourage health promotor work indeed and fulfill work satisfaction.

Preliminary study in April 15th 2015 known the challenges faced health promotors are over capacity workload. Its phenomenon influencing Proceedings of the international nursing conference on Chronic Disease Management Pekalongan, Indonesia, August, 7-8, 2019

main targets achievement. Based on explanation, its important knowing how leadership being challenging for health promotors to advance their productivities.

Methods

Its a descriptive research to describe leadership roles to improve health promotor's productivities. Population of this research are health promotors of public health centers in Pekalongan Distric which are 27 persons. Sample size its total population of health promotors. Data collection using quesionaire which contains items of productivitiy indicators and leadership roles questions. Secondary data collected from yearly reports including public health center profiles. Collecting data using structured quetionaire, and indepth with qualitative approach by using triangulation technique (Sugiyono, 2005). Triangulation technique does to key informants, that is head of puskesmas . Aim of triangulation is knowing more phenomenon found (Sugiyono, 2006). Population are amount 27 health promotors in puskesmas and samples are total population. Data collection covering primary data and secondary data.

Results

The results of this research are generally showed in this table below :

Table 1: Productivities matri	Table	ctivities m	atrix
-------------------------------	-------	-------------	-------

No.	Productivities	Frequency	%
1	Poor	17	63,0
2	Good	10	37,0
	Amount	27	100

Based on matrix above known that low productivities are about 63 percens, its higher than good productivities.

Table 2: Indicators Productivities

No.	Productivities Indicators
1	Output quality
2	Output quantity
3	Absence
4	Level of errors
5	Spending time

Based on table above, productivities described into five indicators : output quality, output quantity, absence, level of errors and spending time.

Table 3: Leadership matrix

No.	Leadership	Frequency	%
1	Bad	17	63,0
2	Good	10	37,0
	Amount	27	100

Based on tables above, known 63 percens respondence's perception about leadership are bad.

Triangulation results shown below :

Table 4 : Informan number 1

Directing and	Giving direction to ensure the
controlling	main tasks done well.
Giving motivation	Moral support
Doing faults	Work by system can reduce
	errors
Supervision	Comparing targets and
	realization achievement

Table 5 : Informan number 2

Directing and controlling	Informal ways
Giving motivation	Personal approaches
Doing faults	Remaining done
	everytime
Supervision	Direct and indirect

Table 6 : Informan number 3

Directing and controlling	Personal approaches
Giving motivation	Giving motivation orally and keeping togetherness
Doing faults	Controlling
Supervision	By doing monitoring and evaluating

Based on tables above knows all of informan do their leadership roles with its self approach to health promotors.

Discussion

Based on results, known 63 percens health productivities promotors's are poor. Productivity means comparison between output input (Umar Husen, 2002). High and produktivity reflects that employers feel comfort, pleased and active doing their tasks well done. High productivity could achieved with giving high motivation by their leader. High productivity reflects that health promotors comfort enough doing their tasks, work in good dicipline and good responcibility. A factor builds good productivity is a high motivation to accelerate high performances in doing works (Aderia, Nyoman, 2015). High motivation drives workers's ability to build a good teamworks, its improving productivities and implicates to public health optimaly (Murniawaty, 2010).

Based on results, known health promotors have good perception to leadership is about only 37 percens. It means leadership aspects in low performances to enhance produvtivities and motivation to health promotors. Leadership had strong influences to arise motivation, which is a moving the staffs to achieve their goals. And motivation comes from leader who has good ability to create motivation in every singles worker (Kartono, 2008 in Benazir, 2013).

Leader has high roles for decision making to lead goals and achieve them which through pushing high productivity. Leadership means ability influenzing people to achieve organization goals. Leadership also related to external aspects of organization (Nasyahta, Rohmah, 2015).

Leadership style directly or indirectly has positve influenze to productivty. The roles of head of puskesmas to support and develope and also rising productivity and motivation. Health promotor workers who have low productivity are influenzed by leader also. Health workers who achieve the targets need good appreciation from leader. Leadership style of head of puskesmas are opened to others, gladly receiving suggests, opinian, and criticism from staffs. Head of puskesmas also priority doing strong teamworks, have efforts to make staffs more success, and develope self potency as leaders. They are are including democratic leadership style which combining military leadership style to make workers have good dicipline.

Relationship between head of puskesmas and health promotors is well built, its because leader giving motivation to have morality awareness. These are needed completing tasks responcibility to make high productivity and also achive targets og public health program in puskesmas. Its driving to achievement level of public health optimally.

A leader and leadership have strong influenzes to motivate staffs and driving staffs to achieve organization's goals. Good leadership could build self motivation of employees (Kartono, 2008). Leadership style righlty encourage high motivation and giving good impacts to do works well and tasks activities. Head office of puskesmas giving contribution which are related to productivity and motivation. Leader should have leadership strategy to breakingdown responcibilities and tasks to staffs. Leaders should know staff's needs and caracters to build good teamworks and reach shared vision. Leader have to stimulate staffs to have high performs which are shown with high productivity.

Indicators of productivity are including : level of present, output quantity, output quality, level of errors, spending time. High presences making employers produce more output and achieve high targets. Output is indicating achievement of productivity level. Productivity is worker's ability to produces stuff or merits. Low productivity gives impacts to decline output and makes targets and work indicators not achieved. The quality of products process could affect quality of output. Good process make good products, and its indicated good productivity. Workers who work in high productity could produce good quality of products. This is related with good quality of process, and its indicated decreasing errors during accomplish the tasks. Workers with good performances tends to less spending time to accomplish tasks, caused workers do less errors (Sinungan, 2009).

Based on Sulistarini and Rosidah (2003), there are factors affecting productivity : knowledge, skills, ability, and attitude. Knowledge is a base to achieving good productivity. Knowledge orients to intelligency, thinking power, mastery of knowledge and scope of insight. Within a broad knowledge, workers accomplish higher education, an employer ables doing the tasks well. Skill is ability and competence technically and operationally in certain field. Ability constructed from competences, knowledges and skills of the workers. Good knowledge and skills contribute making higher abilities. Attitude is a patterned habbits, which implicate positively to build work behaviours.

One of leadership factors which affects giving motivation, that are productivity is divided instrinsic motivation and extrinsic motivation. Intrinsic motivation comes from itself, which roles as a psychologic motor of human being. Intrinsic motivation is making works are fun and satisfied to accomplished. The dimension of intrinsic motivation are : 1). Challenges: including competences, self determination and coriousity. Worker who has high self-determination could set up his choices by himself, undependent to others with nothing pressures. Workers with fine competences have spirit to face challenges, have competitive power and survival power to overcome any problems. Coriousty is a drive to know about complex problems to solved. 2). Enjoyment; including : task involvement and interest. Employee who involevement knowing has task and understanding about the tasks and have go responcibility to accomplish them. Interest related to enjoying and loving the works, and its an internal factor to drive employee to stand on his works (Editya, 2009).

Extrinsic motivation comes from outer of employee. Willingness to achieve rewards, money and others are including extrinsic motivation. Mostly extrinsic motivation related to matters. These are factors affecting extrinsic motivation : Compentation and outward. Compentation including : competition, its competitive making and motivation are increasing higher that cause changes in career level. Competition makes changing employees status and driving the employees and pushed working better. Extrinsic motivation relates to focus on money or other tangible incentive. Outward means recognizing the existence in a team by other people to build good teamworks. Knowing existence every single person in a team contribute to make agood atmosphere of works. Competition also related to focus on other existences and having interaction in teamwork. (Editya, 2009).

Conclusion

As external factor, leadership has strong promotor's roles to improve health productivities which different style to construct it well. Internal factor of productivity including knowledge, skills, ability and attitude. Giving motivation to employees is one of importance of leadership role. Recommendation of this research : working up good productivity of health promotors are implementing difference style of leadership, that are open to others, gladly receiving suggests, opinian, and criticism from staffs.

Acknowledgements

We thank Public Health Center in Pekalongan District for their valuable supports and helping of this research.

References

- Editya Alexander. 2009. Hubungan Antara Motivasi Intrinsik dan Ekstrinsik dengan Overt Integrity Pada Karyawan Produksi CV.P. Fakultas Psikologi Unika Atma Jaya. Diakses pada 10 Mei 2015. Pukul 23:01
- Laksono Trisnantoro, 2017, Aspek Strategis Manajemen Rumah Sakit, Andi Publisher, Yogyakarta <u>https://kebijakankesehatanindonesia.net/im</u> <u>ages/buku/MRS2/ASPEK_BAB%20V%20-%20PERENCANAAN%20STRATEGIS%</u> <u>20DAN%20KEPEMIMPINAN.pdf</u>
- Murniawaty. S. M, 2010, Pengaruh Motivasi Terhadap Produktivitas Kerja Pegawai Pada Kantor Kecamatan Sidikalang Kabupaten Dairi, Artikel Penelitian FISIP Universitas Sumatera Utara, Diakses pada 03 Juni 2015 pukul 11:42

_____, 2015, *Kepemimpinan 1*, Jurnal Administrasi dan Kebijakan Indonesia UNAIR, Volume 3 Nomor 2 Tahun 2015 <u>https://e-</u> journal.unair.ac.id/JAKI/article/view/1865/1372 Nasyahta, 2015, *Kepemimpinan 2*, Jurnal

Administrasi dan Kebijakan UNAIR, Volume 3 Nomor 2 Tahun 2015, Proceedings of the international nursing conference on Chronic Disease Management Pekalongan, Indonesia, August, 7-8, 2019

https://ejournal.unair.ac.id/JAKI/article/vie w/1866/1373

Nur. A. Benazir, 2013, Hubungan Gaya Kepemimpinan dengan Motivasi Kerja Pegawai Pada Dinas Pendidikan Provinsi Jawa Barat, Artikel Penelitian Fakultas Bisnis dan Manajemen Universitas Widyatama, diakses pada 18 Januari 2016 pukul 11:59 WIB Sinungan, M. 2009, Produktivitas : Apa dan Bagaimana, Jakarta, Bumi Aksara.

Sulistiyani, ²Ambar Teguh dan Rosidah, 2003. *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*, Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu

turnitin

• 3% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

• 3% Publications database

- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Husnil Khatimah, Fairol Halim, Perengki Susanto. "Chapter 49 Enhanci Crossref	2%
2	Endang Rahmawati. "PENGARUH MOTIVASI KERJA TERHADAP PROD Crossref	<1%
3	Valentina Valentina. "FACTORS CAUSING THE DUPLICATION OF THE Crossref	<1%