

PAPER NAME

Incosha_Ismi Ika Yunita_Mahirun.pdf

WORD COUNT CHARACTER COUNT

2853 Words 15263 Characters

PAGE COUNT FILE SIZE

8 Pages 305.1KB

SUBMISSION DATE REPORT DATE

Nov 25, 2023 9:42 AM GMT+7 Nov 25, 2023 9:43 AM GMT+7

17% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 8% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- Crossref database
- 15% Submitted Works database

Excluded from Similarity Report

- Internet database
- · Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 14 words)
- Bibliographic material
- Cited material



THE INFLUENCE OF PRICE, PROMOTION, SERVICE QUALITY ON GOJEK CUSTOMER SATISFACTION (STUDY ON GOJEK CONSUMERS IN PEKALONGAN)

Ismi Ika Yunita¹, Mahirun²

Master of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Pekalongan Email: ismiikayunita85@gmail.com¹, mahirun@yahoo.com²



The purpose of this study is the effect of price, promotion and service quality on customer satisfaction of Gojek. The data source used is primary data. The type of research used is the Quantitative Explanatory Research approach. By using purposive sampling. The analytical tool used is Multiple Linear Regression Test. The results of this study concluded that price has a positive effect on customer satisfaction. This is proven by the t test and is in line with research conducted by (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018). So the hypothesis that price has a positive effect on customer satisfaction is proven to be true. Promotion has a positive effect on customer satisfaction. This is proven by the t test and is in line with research conducted by (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018). So the hypothesis that promotion has an effect on Customer Satisfaction is proven true. Service Quality has a positive effect on Customer Satisfaction. This is proven by the t test and is in line with research conducted by (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018). But this is not in line with research conducted by (Surianto, Ketmi Novrin; Istriani, 2019). So the hypothesis that Service Quality has an effect on Customer Satisfaction is proven to be true.

KeywordS: Price, Promotion, Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction

INTRODUCTION

PT Gojek Indonesia was founded in 2011 by Nadiem Makarim. Nadiem created Gojek, which is an order-based modern motorcycle taxi service. Ojek, which is a two-wheeled motorbike, is a very effective form of transportation. This application allows users to be able to order motorcycle taxis online. Gojek is known as a modern and professional motorcycle taxi. Drivers have been equipped with Android-based mobile phones with the aim of making it easier for drivers to connect with users and to easily get the best routes to drop off passengers. In 2016, Gojek launched payment via Gopay. Now Gopay balances can be topped up in various ways, from bank transfers to giving money directly to Gojek drivers. Gopay can also be used for non-cash payments using QRIS. Gojek also works with marketplaces Tokopedia and Bukalapak to deliver ordered goods from sellers to buyers via Gosend.

In conducting research, it requires research that has been carried out by

previous researchers to become a reference in supporting a study. So that the research being carried out is better than previous research. The results of research conducted by (Surianto, Ketmi Novrin; Istriani, 2019) entitled "The Influence of Service Quality, Perceived Price and Promotion Customer Satisfaction of Users of Grab-Cardi Yogyakarta Online Transportation Services" that (1) Service quality partially has no significant effect and positive impact on GrabCar customer satisfaction in Yogyakarta. (2) Price perception partially has a significant and positive effect on GrabCar satisfaction customer Yogyakarta. (3) Promotion partially has a significant and positive effect on GrabCar customer satisfaction in Yogyakarta. (4) Service Quality, Perceived Price and Promotion simultaneously have significant effect on GrabCar customer satisfaction in Yogyakarta. Furthermore, research was conducted by (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018) entitled "The Influence of Service Quality and Perceived Price on



Satisfaction and Loyalty of Gojek Customers in Depasar City" that (1) Service Quality has a positive and significant effect on satisfaction. means that the better the quality of service provided by Goiek, the level of Goiek customer satisfaction in Denpasar City will increase, (2) Price perception has a positive and significant effect on satisfaction. This means that if Gojek is able to provide rates match customer expectations, customers will have a positive perception of Furthermore, research Gojek rates. conducted by (Mardjani et al., 2023) entitled "The Influence of Price, Promotion Service Quality on Consumer Satisfaction in Online Transportation (Case Study of Gojek and Maxim in Manado City), that (1) Price partially has a negative influence significant to Gojek Customer Satisfaction, (2) Promotion partially has a positive and significant influence on Gojek Customer Satisfaction, (3) Service Quality partially has a positive and significant influence on Goiek Customer Satisfaction.

The phenomenon of the Gojek application being accepted by the public makes it an interesting thing to study. The Gojek application which has an easy system to use, good presentation of information, information according to user needs, ease of understanding information and the system, will certainly increase customer satisfaction, namely Gojek application

Based on the background above, the researcher is interested in researching "The Influence of Price, Promotion, and Service Quality on Gojek Customer Satisfaction (Study on Goiek Consumers Pekalongan)".

METHOD

Types of research

of This type research explanatory research with a quantitative approach, namely research that intends to explain the position of the variables studied and the relationship between one variable and another (Sugiyono, 2010:10)

Data collection technique

Data collection in this study was carried out by distributing questionnaires. Questionnaire or questionnaire is a method of collecting data directly by submitting a list of questions to respondents. The Likert scale calculation ranges from strongly agreeing to strongly disagreeing with the Anglet method and the questionnaires given to the respondents. In addition, data was also obtained from various journals and previous research related to the research being carried out.

DATA SOURCE

The data used in this study is primary data obtained from the transformation of a tiered data by giving a number symbol. A questionnaire containing a question that must be filled out by customers who use services on the Gojek application.

POPULATION AND SAMPLE

The population in this study are all goiek customers who live in Pekalongan. According to Sugiyono (2019: 148) population is a generalized area consisting of objects/subjects that have certain characteristic qualities set by researchers to study and then draw conclusions.

The sample in this study were 40 respondents. The sample is part of the total and characteristic characteristics possessed by a certain population. If the population is large and it is impossible for the researcher to study everything in the population, then the research uses samples taken from that population (Sugiyono, 2018).

Sampling technique

The sampling technique in this study used purposive sampling with the criteria of customers who have used the service on the go-jek application at least once and are at least 18 years old. Purposive sampling is a sampling technique by providing selfassessment to the sample among the selected population. The assessment is taken of course if it meets certain criteria in accordance with the topic of assessment.



Data analysis method

Testing the hypothesis in this study will be carried out using a multiple linear regression model, wherein the regression test will be tested for the effect of the independent variable on the dependent. Data management and analysis in this study were carried out using validity tests, reliability tests, classic assumption tests, and hypothesis testing using linear regression and using the SPSS for windpw version 22.0 program. The specified

multiple linear regression equation is as follows:

 $Y = \alpha + \beta X1 + \beta X2 + \beta X3 + e$

Information

Y = Customer Satisfaction

 $\alpha = Constant$

X1 = Price

X2 = Promotion

X3 = Quality of Service

 β = Regression Coefficient

e = Standard error

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Validity test

Table 1.1.
Price Validity Test Results, Promotions, Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction

| Rhitung | Rtabel | Sig. | Keterangan |
|---------|--|---|---|
| .835 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .337 | .312 | .033 | Valid |
| .856 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .547 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .736 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .531 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .678 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .468 | .312 | .002 | Valid |
| .669 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .376 | .312 | .017 | Valid |
| .793 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .572 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .711 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .427 | .312 | .006 | Valid |
| .731 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .374 | .312 | .017 | Valid |
| .735 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .437 | .312 | .005 | Valid |
| .685 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .635 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .771 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .764 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .840 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .823 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .732 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .356 | .312 | .026 | Valid |
| .553 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .774 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .756 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .771 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .691 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .894 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| .822 | .312 | .000 | Valid |
| | .835 .337 .856 .547 .736 .531 .678 .468 .669 .376 .793 .572 .711 .427 .731 .374 .735 .437 .685 .635 .771 .764 .840 .823 .732 .356 .553 .774 .756 .771 .691 | .835 .312 .337 .312 .856 .312 .547 .312 .736 .312 .531 .312 .678 .312 .468 .312 .376 .312 .793 .312 .572 .312 .711 .312 .427 .312 .731 .312 .374 .312 .374 .312 .437 .312 .685 .312 .635 .312 .771 .312 .840 .312 .823 .312 .732 .312 .553 .312 .774 .312 .756 .312 .771 .312 .756 .312 .771 .312 .894 .312 | .835 .312 .000 .337 .312 .033 .856 .312 .000 .547 .312 .000 .736 .312 .000 .531 .312 .000 .678 .312 .000 .468 .312 .000 .376 .312 .000 .379 .312 .000 .572 .312 .000 .572 .312 .000 .427 .312 .000 .427 .312 .000 .374 .312 .000 .374 .312 .000 .437 .312 .000 .437 .312 .000 .685 .312 .000 .771 .312 .000 .764 .312 .000 .823 .312 .000 .823 .312 .000 .736 .312 .000 |

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023



Table 1.1. above shows that all the question items on the variable Price, Promotion, Service Quality and Customer

Satisfaction are declared valid, because the value of r count > r table (0.3044) and the significance level < a (0.05

Reliability Test

Table 1.2. Reliability Test Results

| Variable | Coefficient Alpha | Criteria | Description |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|
| Harga | .731 | >0,60 | Reliabel |
| Promosi | .845 | >0,60 | Reliabel |
| Kualitas Layanan | .848 | >0,60 | Reliabel |
| Kepuasan Pelanggan | .869 | >0,60 | Reliabel |

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

Based on the results of the reliability test in table 1.2. shows that the research instrument, namely the Price, Promotion, Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction questionnaire, has a Croncbach's Alpha value greater than 0.60. Thus it can be concluded that the questionnaire data that

the researchers used in this study were representative in the sense that the data measurements were reliable. After the instrument was carried out, the results stated that the research data was valid and reliable.

Normality test

Table 1....
Normality Test Results

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

| | - | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| | | Unstandardized Residual |
| N | | 40 |
| Normal Parameters ^{a,b} | Mean | 0E-7 |
| Normal Parameters | Std. Deviation | 1.47445674 |
| | Absolute | .124 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Positive | .066 |
| | Negative | 124 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z | | .783 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | | .572 |

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

Based on the normality test results in table 1.3. shows that the significant value of Asymp Sig (2 tailed) 0.572 is greater than 0.05 (p-value > 0.05). So it can be

concluded that the residual data of the regression model in this study is normally distributed.



Multiconerity Results

Table 1.4. Multiconerity Test Results

oefficients^a

| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collineari | ty Statistics |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|------|------------|---------------|
| | | В | Std. Error | Beta | | | Tolerance | VIF |
| | (Constant) | .529 | 2.499 | | .212 | .834 | | |
| | HARGA | .468 | .126 | .485 | 3.727 | .001 | .433 | 2.310 |
| 1 | PROMOSI | .125 | .082 | .222 | 1.536 | .133 | .353 | 2.836 |
| | KUALITAS PELAYANAN | .159 | .090 | .240 | 1.776 | .084 | .402 | 2.488 |

a. Dependent Variable: KEPUASAN PELANGGAN

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

Based on table 1.4. it can be seen that the results of the multiconerity test show that all variables have a tolerance value

above 0.10 and a VIF value below 10, thus it can be concluded that there is no multiconerity deviation

Heteroscedasticity Results

Table 1.5. **Heteroscedasticity Test Results**

0efficients^a

| | Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|--|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| | | | В | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| | | (Constant) | 6.189 | 1.257 | | 4.924 | .000 |
| | 1 | HARGA | 143 | .063 | 447 | -2.268 | .029 |
| | 1 | PROMOSI | 004 | .041 | 019 | 086 | .932 |
| | | KUALITAS PELAYANAN | 048 | .045 | 216 | -1.055 | .299 |

a. Dependent Variable: ABS RES

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

Based on table 1.5. shows that there is no heteroscedasticity disturbance that occurs in the process of estimating the parameters of the estimator model, where the p-value is above the significant level pvalue> 0.05). So overall it can be concluded that there is no heteroscedasticity problem in this study.

F Test (Simultaneous)

Table 1.6. Test Results (Simultaneous)

ANOVA^a

| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------|------------|----------------|----|-------------|--------|-------|
| | Regression | 235.988 | 3 | 78.663 | 33.400 | .000b |
| 1 | Residual | 84.787 | 36 | 2.355 | | |
| | Total | 320.775 | 39 | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: KEPUASAN PELANGGAN

b. Predictors: (Constant), KUALITAS PELAYANAN, HARGA, PROMOSI

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

Based on table 1.10. It is known that the significance value for the Influence of Price, Promotion, and Service Quality simultaneously on Customer Satisfaction is 0.000 < 0.05 and the calculated F value is

33,400 > 2.86. So it can be concluded that Price, Promotion and Service Quality have simultaneous effect on Customer Satisfaction.



Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Table 1.7. Results of Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Coefficients^a

| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|------|
| | | В | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| | (Constant) | .529 | 2.499 | | .212 | .834 |
| 1 | HARGA | .468 | .126 | .485 | 3.727 | .001 |
| | PROMOSI | .125 | .082 | .222 | 1.536 | .133 |
| | KUALITAS PELAYANAN | .159 | .090 | .240 | 1.776 | .084 |

a. Dependent Variable: KEPUASAN PELANGGAN Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

The relationship model for the values of these variables can be arranged in a function or equation as follows:

Y = .529 + .468X1 + .125X2 + .159X3 + eInformation:

Y = Customer Satisfaction

 α = Constant

X1 = Price

X2 = Promotion

X3 = Quality of Service

 β = Regression Coefficient

e = Standard error

Based on the results of the multiple linear regression equation, the regression results can be explained/interpreted as follows:

- a. Price Regression Coefficient is positive. Shows that if prices are considered constant, it will increase customer satisfaction
- b. Promotion Regression Coefficient is positive. Shows that if the Promotion is considered constant, it will increase Customer Satisfaction
- c. The Service Quality Regression Coefficient is positive. Showing that Service Quality is considered constant, it will increase Customer Satisfaction



Table 1.8. T Test Results (Partial Test)

Coefficients^a

| | Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|---|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------|------|
| L | | | В | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| | | (Constant) | .529 | 2.499 | | .212 | .834 |
| L | 1 | HARGA | .468 | .126 | .485 | 3.727 | .001 |
| ľ | | PROMOSI | .125 | .082 | .222 | 1.536 | .133 |
| L | | KUALITAS PELAYANAN | .159 | .090 | .240 | 1.776 | .084 |

a. Dependent Variable: KEPUASAN PELANGGAN Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

The explanation for each independent variable is as follows:

1. Effect of Price on Customer Satisfaction The results of statistical testing of the t test for the price variable based on table 1.12 obtained t count 3.727 > t table 2.026 with a p-value level of 0.001 <0.05, then the hypothesis is accepted. This means that price has a positive and



- significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- 2. The Effect of Promotion on Customer Satisfaction

The results of statistical testing of the t test for the Promotion variable based on table 1.12 obtained t count 1.536 < t table 2.026 with a p-value of 0.133 > 0.05, so the hypothesis is rejected. This means that promotion has no significant positive effect on customer satisfaction.

3. The Effect of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction

The results of statistical testing of the t test for the Service Quality variable based on table 1.12 obtained t count 1.776 < t table 2.026 with a p-value of 0.084 < 0.05, then the hypothesis is rejected. This means that Service Quality has a not significant positive effect on Customer Satisfaction.

Coefficient of Determination (R2)

Table 1.9.
Test Results for the Coefficient of Determination (R2)

8. Todel Summary

| | Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|---|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| I | 1 | .858ª | .736 | .714 | 1.53466 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), KUALITAS PELAYANAN, HARGA, PROMOSI

Source: Primary Data Processed, 2023

Based on table 1.9. shows the magnitude of the influence of the independent variable or predictor variable on the dependent variable. The magnitude of the coefficient of determination is 0.714. It implies that the effect of the independent variable on changes in the dependent variable is 71.4%. Meanwhile, 28.6% is influenced by other variables.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the data analysis that has been done, it can be concluded as follows:

- a. Prices affect customer satisfaction. This is proven by the t test and in line with research conducted by (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018) that price has a positive effect on customer satisfaction. But this is not in line with research conducted by (Mardjani et al., 2023) that price has no positive effect on customer satisfaction. So the hypothesis that price has an effect on customer satisfaction is proven to be true.
- b. Promotion has an effect on customer satisfaction. This is proven by the t test and in line with research conducted by

- (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018) that promotions have a positive effect on customer satisfaction. So the hypothesis that Promotion has an effect on Customer Satisfaction is proven true.
- c. Service Quality influences Customer Satisfaction. This is proven by the t test and in line with research conducted by (Wayan & Suprapti, 2018) that service quality affects customer satisfaction. But this is not in line with research conducted by (Surianto, Ketmi Novrin; Istriani, 2019) that service quality has no effect on customer satisfaction. So the hypothesis that Service Quality has an effect on Customer Satisfaction is proven to be true.



17% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

• 8% Publications database

- · Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 15% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

| 1 | President University on 2018-04-26 Submitted works | 4% |
|---|--|-----|
| 2 | School of Business and Management ITB on 2019-12-09 Submitted works | 2% |
| 3 | Tika Widiyan, Zurohma Hasna Rohiilah, Safiyah Riyantini, Imam Cahyo Crossref | 1% |
| 4 | Maria Amelia Carmelita Tumewu, Joy E. Tulung, Maria V. J. Tielung. "T Crossref | 1% |
| 5 | Taylor's Education Group on 2014-04-27 Submitted works | 1% |
| 6 | MAHSA University on 2022-11-06 Submitted works | <1% |
| 7 | President University on 2019-01-10 Submitted works | <1% |
| 8 | Universitas Muria Kudus on 2018-09-03 Submitted works | <1% |
| 9 | Coventry University on 2022-11-29 Submitted works | <1% |



| Safitri Nur Diana, Bayu Airlangga Putra, Mochammad Arif. "The Influen Crossref Universitas Putera Batam on 2018-12-05 Submitted works Liniversitas Putera Batam on 2019-06-19 | UPN Veteran Yogyakarta on 2023-01-12 Submitted works | <1% |
|--|--|-----|
| Universitas Putera Batam on 2018-12-05 Submitted works Universitas Putera Batam on 2019-06-19 Submitted works Bagus Dwiseptiawan Mardjani, S. L.H. V. Joyce Lapian, Maryam Manga Crossref Ego Goper, Muhammad Wadud, Susi Handayani. "The Effect of Work Et Crossref Febyola Kawatu, David P. E. Saerang, Maria V. J. Tielung. "THE ANALY Crossref Yosef Tonce, Achmad Firdiansjah, Junianto Tjahjo Darsono. "The Effec | • | <1% |
| Universitas Putera Batam on 2019-06-19 Submitted works Bagus Dwiseptiawan Mardjani, S. L.H. V. Joyce Lapian, Maryam Manga <19 Crossref Ego Goper, Muhammad Wadud, Susi Handayani. "The Effect of Work Et <19 Crossref Febyola Kawatu, David P. E. Saerang, Maria V. J. Tielung. "THE ANALY <19 Crossref Yosef Tonce, Achmad Firdiansjah, Junianto Tjahjo Darsono. "The Effec <19 | | <1% |
| Bagus Dwiseptiawan Mardjani, S. L.H. V. Joyce Lapian, Maryam Manga Crossref Ego Goper, Muhammad Wadud, Susi Handayani. "The Effect of Work Et Crossref Febyola Kawatu, David P. E. Saerang, Maria V. J. Tielung. "THE ANALY Crossref Yosef Tonce, Achmad Firdiansjah, Junianto Tjahjo Darsono. "The Effec | | <1% |
| Ego Goper, Muhammad Wadud, Susi Handayani. "The Effect of Work Et <19 Crossref Febyola Kawatu, David P. E. Saerang, Maria V. J. Tielung. "THE ANALY <19 Crossref Yosef Tonce, Achmad Firdiansjah, Junianto Tjahjo Darsono. "The Effec <19 | | <1% |
| Febyola Kawatu, David P. E. Saerang, Maria V. J. Tielung. "THE ANALY <19 Crossref Yosef Tonce, Achmad Firdiansjah, Junianto Tjahjo Darsono. "The Effec <19 | | <1% |
| Yosef Tonce, Achmad Firdiansjah, Junianto Tjahjo Darsono. "The Effec <19 | | <1% |
| | | <1% |
| | | <1% |